

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/460,806	LEE, EUGENE M.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonathan Ouellette	3629	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jonathan Ouellette.

(2) Cynthia Nicholson.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 9 September 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*All rejections*

**Claims discussed:**

*All Independent*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*BPM, InventNet*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner Ouellette suggested some possible amendments to the independent claims to overcome the 103 prior art rejection. Ms. Nicholson agreed to the changes, and asked Examiner Ouellette to make the changes by Examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)